## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/541,653	BREITFUSS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YONG HANG JIANG	2612		

	YONG HANG JIANG	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origit	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	isideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	aucing or simplifying tr	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: Claims 1, 8, and 13 have been amended w determine patentability. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41		reviously, further sear	ch is required to
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1, and 3-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Brian A Zimmerman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612			